

**DIRECTORATE FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION
COMMITTEE FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL POLICY**

**TIP WORKSHOP ON “THE OECD COUNTRY REVIEWS OF INNOVATION POLICY –
ACHIEVEMENTS, LESSONS LEARNED AND THE WAY FORWARD”**

DRAFT PROGRAMME

**12 December 2016
OECD Conference Centre, Paris**

The Workshop will be followed by the TIP meeting on 13-14 December 2016.

Contacts: Mario Cervantes, Head of TIP Secretariat, e-mail: Mario.CERVANTES@oecd.org;
Gernot Hutschenreiter, Head, Country Innovation Policy Reviews,
e-mail: Gernot.HUTSCHENREITER@oecd.org

Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.



**TIP WORKSHOP ON
“THE OECD COUNTRY REVIEWS OF INNOVATION POLICY – ACHIEVEMENTS, LESSONS
LEARNED AND THE WAY FORWARD”**

12 December 2016

DRAFT PROGRAMME

	<i>Session 1: The Nordic innovation policy reviews – Finland, Norway and Sweden</i>	
9:30	Welcome (Jerry Sheehan, Chair TIP and Overall Chair of the Workshop) (5’) Introduction on the objectives of the workshop (Dominique Guellec and Gernot Hutschenreiter, Head, Country Innovation Policy Review Unit) (5’ each)	15
9:45	<i>Session 1A: Emerging results of the innovation policy reviews of Finland and Norway</i> <i>Presentation of the draft “Overall Assessment and Recommendations” of the ongoing Reviews of Finland and Norway followed by Peer Review. Discussion of key issues and how countries are working to address them.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finland (OECD Secretariat) (15’) • Norway (OECD Secretariat) (15’) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Peer reviewer 1 (10’) ○ Peer reviewer 2 (10’) ○ Response of the Finnish delegation (10’) ○ Response of the Norwegian delegation (10’) • General discussion on lessons learned and questions from the floor (10’) 	80
11:05	<i>Coffee break</i>	25
11:30	<i>Session 1B: Roundtable on two cross-cutting issues (policy makers from Nordic countries)</i> <i>Chaired by: Prof. Erik Arnold</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short introduction by the Chair (10’) • <i>Key issue 1: Achieving relevance and excellence in research (country delegates – 20’)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Strategic focus and orientation of research at universities ○ Funding models and incentive structures • General discussion on lessons learned and questions from the floor (10’) 	80

	<p style="text-align: center;">Chaired by: Prof. Jan Fagerberg (tbc)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short introduction by the Chair (10') • <i>Key issue 2: Diversification and structural change through research, higher education and innovation (country delegates – 20')</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Nurturing the evolution of new economic activities ○ Facilitating and promoting structural change and diversification • General discussion on lessons learned and questions from the floor (10') 	
12:50	Conclusions	10'
13:00	Adjourn	

13:00-14:30 Lunch

14:30	<p>Session 2.A: Country Innovation Policy Reviews: Taking stock and moving ahead</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Taking stock: the evolution of the Country Innovation Policy Reviews, impact and new opportunities (10') • The practice of Country Innovation Policy Reviews: process, results, impacts – seen by a panel of policy makers of reviewed countries (moderated by Sylvia Schwaag Serger, Vinnova) (35') • The experience of other policy reviews (OECD Economic and Education Directorates, European Commission) (moderated by Sylvia Schwaag Serger, Vinnova) (35') • The way forward – options for the future: questions for the breakout sessions (10') 	90
16:00	<p>Session 2.B: Breakout sessions (Coffee provided in meeting rooms)</p> <p><i>Delegates and experts will be divided into smaller working groups to discuss the following issues:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tailoring the Innovation Policy Reviews to new demands <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Which are the most promising directions to explore in product development or differentiation for different purposes (level of granularity etc.)? • Sustaining the impacts of the Innovation Policy Reviews <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Could this be best achieved by offering follow-ups, stakeholder seminars, a strengthened peer review, involvement of delegates in the review process, etc.? • Methodology, statistics and diagnostics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What are methodological innovations, new diagnostics, metrics and statistical sources / indicators to be considered in future Reviews, and how to best apply them? 	70

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Synergies between the Innovation Policy Reviews and thematic work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How can the Innovation Policy Reviews best inform other CSTP, TIP and horizontal projects (and vice-versa)? E.g. current and forthcoming work on digitalisation. 	
17:20	<p><i>Session 2.C: Summary of the main points emerging during breakout sessions</i></p> <p>The rapporteur of each group reports back the main conclusions emerging from the discussion in Session 2.B (5' = 1 slide each)</p> <p>General discussion and reactions</p>	30
17:50	<i>Chair's Conclusions</i>	10
18:00	End of the Workshop	