

Incentivising open science

Vincent Tunru - Flockademic

**“make the scientific process
more open and inclusive for all
relevant actors”**

**“already, in some disciplines,
publishing papers in
peer-reviewed journals is no
longer the main mechanism for
communicating scientific results”**



<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165359.g002>

Journal-independent credentialing

Excellence tomorrow?

- Altmetrics?
 - Standardised criteria?
 - Post-publication peer review?
-

Excellence today

- Article gets cited often
 - Assumption: editors are good at selecting reviewers
 - Assumption: reviewers are good at recognising excellent work
 - Work gets recognised as excellent by reviewers
 - Author is thus considered excellent
-

Proposal: endorsements

- Similar to current system
 - Can be introduced in parallel
 - Adds an Open Access incentive that is inclusive
-

To recap

- More inclusivity requires better affordability
 - Better affordability can be achieved by decoupling the quest for excellence from the scientific process
 - Indicators of excellence should be compared to today's method
 - Proposal: endorsements as indicators
-